This article was downloaded by: On: 29 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37- 41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK

Supramolecular Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713649759>

Halogen bonding-based anion coordination in calixarene/inorganic halide/diiodoperfluorocarbon assemblies

Serena Biellaª; Giuseppe Gattusoʰ; Anna Nottiʰ; Pierangelo Metrangoloª; Sebastiano Pappalardo^c; Melchiorre F. Parisi^b; Tullio Pilati^d; Giuseppe Resnatiª; Giancarlo Terraneoª a NFM-Lab DIMIC "G. Natta" Politecnico di Milano, Milano, Italy ^b Dipartimento di Chimica Organica e Biologica, Università di Messina, Messina, Italy ^c Dipartimento di Scienze Chimiche, Università di Catania, Catania, Italy ^d ISTM-CNR Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy

To cite this Article Biella, Serena , Gattuso, Giuseppe , Notti, Anna , Metrangolo, Pierangelo , Pappalardo, Sebastiano , Parisi, Melchiorre F. , Pilati, Tullio , Resnati, Giuseppe and Terraneo, Giancarlo(2009) 'Halogen bonding-based anion coordination in calixarene/inorganic halide/diiodoperfluorocarbon assemblies', Supramolecular Chemistry, 21: 1, 149 — 156

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/10610270802516955 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10610270802516955>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use:<http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Halogen bonding-based anion coordination in calixarene/inorganic halide/diiodoperfluorocarbon assemblies

Serena Biella^a, Giuseppe Gattuso^b, Anna Notti^b, Pierangelo Metrangolo^a, Sebastiano Pappalardo^c, Melchiorre F. Parisi^{b*}, Tullio Pilati^d, Giuseppe Resnati^a* and Giancarlo Terraneo^a

^aNFM-Lab DIMIC "G. Natta" Politecnico di Milano, Milano, Italy; ^bDipartimento di Chimica Organica e Biologica, Università di Messina, Messina, Italy; ^cDipartimento di Scienze Chimiche, Università di Catania, Catania, Italy;
^distria CNP Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy, d ISTM-CNR Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy

(Received 1 August 2008; final version received 18 September 2008)

Self-assembly of ternary mixtures composed of cation segregating agent/inorganic halide (penta-tert-butylpentakis(ethoxycarbonylmethoxy)calix[5]arene (1)/NaI or 4,7,13,16,21,24-hexaoxa-1,10-diazabicyclo[8,8,8]hexacosane $(4)/BaI_2$) and diiodoperfluorocarbon (1,8-diiodoperfluorocotane (2)) produces crystalline supramolecular salts 3 and 5, respectively, whose structural characteristics in the solid state have been elucidated by single-crystal X-ray analyses. Encapsulation of alkali or alkaline-earth metal ions inside the complexing pocket of the appropriate receptor generates naked iodide anions, which effectively drive the self-assembly of diiodoperfluorooctane 2 into halogen-bonded supramolecular anions with a comb-like copolymer structure.

Keywords: anion coordination; calixarenes; comb-like copolymer; halogen bonding; X-ray

1. Introduction

Cation coordination chemistry has contributed to major advances in and benefited by major contributions from several areas of chemistry spanning both fundamental studies (e.g. aggregation, recognition, self-assembly processes) and application-oriented investigations (e.g. phase transfer catalysis, wastewater cleaning, lightemitting devices) (1) . The toolbox of modules to design and implement cation coordination is quite rich and allows for specific strategies tailored to fit the substrate cation and the targeted function.

Anion coordination is a much less developed area (2). While moderately good information is available on the construction of discrete adducts through endo recognition of anions (3) , the understanding of *exo* recognition phenomena involving anions is still in its infancy (4) and a tremendous difference exists between the ability to selfassemble infinite networks by using cations when compared with anions as templating agents.

Halogen bonding (XB) is a non-covalent interaction in which halogens act as electron acceptors (Lewis acids, XB donors) (5). For a given halogen site, the higher the electron density on the electron donor partner (Lewis base, XB acceptor), the stronger the resulting XB (6). Anions (e.g. iodides and bromides) usually give rise to stronger XBs than neutral electron donors $((4h))$; e.g. the nitrogen atoms of amine and pyridine moieties or the oxygen atoms of ether and carbonyl functionalities), and it has already

been reported that both discrete adducts and infinite networks can be formed when polydentate XB donors are used (7). Interestingly, with a given XB donor (e.g. 1,8 diiodoperfluorooctane and 1,2-diiodotetrafluoroethane) iodide ions can work as mono-, bi- or tridentate XB acceptors and as a result trimeric adducts (8) , 1D $(4b, 4i, 9)$, 2D $(4a, 4c, 7a, 10)$ or 3D $(4c, 7a, 11)$ networks can be formed.

Halides are spherical anions and the nature of the associated cation affects their coordination valency when involved in XB formation. The size and shape of the cation influence its distance from the anion and consequently the tendency of the anion to work as electron donor (namely its coordination number and sphere) (12). Moreover, cation size and shape also influence the geometry and the metrics of the crystal packing, and thus affect the size and shape of the space potentially available for the supramolecular anion. Indeed, we have shown how the topology of the overall crystal packing can be designed in detail when the mutual fit between cation and anion is conveniently controlled (4a, 8a, 9a).

Two classes of selective cation sequestering agents will be presented in this paper: the calix[5]arene 1 and the cryptand K.2.2.2 4. Their ability to tightly accommodate alkali and alkaline-earth metal ions of different sizes is well known (13) , but the fate of the counterion freed upon complexation has scarcely been investigated. We will report here on the formation of the hybrid supermolecule 3

^{*}Corresponding authors. Email: mparisi@unime.it; giuseppe.resnati@polimi.it

obtained from calixarene/NaI/diiodoperfluorooctane ternary mixtures and on its structural properties in the solid state. The structural features of the supramolecular anion formed upon anion-templated assembly of diiodoperfluorooctane 2 will be described and compared with the supramolecular anion present in the hybrid supermolecule 5, where the anion and the diiodoperfluorocarbon modules are the same but the metal cation and its sequestering agent are different (Ba^{2+}) and K.2.2.2, respectively).

2. Results and discussion

2.1 Penta-tert-butyl-pentakis(ethoxycarbonylmethoxy) calix[5]arene/NaI/1,8-diiodoperfluorooctane assembly

The self-assembly of ternary adducts compounded of calixarenes, perfluorocarbons and inorganic salts was carried out by using penta-tert-butyl-pentakis(ethoxycarbonylmethoxy)calix[5]arene (1) (14) , NaI and 1,8diiodoperfluorooctane (2). Diiodooctane 2 has already been proved to act as an efficient XB donor towards iodide anions (15). Calixarene 1, on the other hand, is known to be an effective ionophore (14) and a selective carrier of sodium cations through liquid membranes (16) . The use of 1 was then expected to provide, upon sodium iodide exposure, 'naked' iodide anions readily available for XB formation.

The $[Na^{+} \subset 1]$ I⁻ complex was obtained as a white powder upon evaporation of a methanol solution of equimolar amounts of 1 and NaI. The formation of the supramolecular cation $[Na^+\subset 1]$ featuring a Na⁺ ion embedded in the ionophoric pocket created by the ethereal and carbonyl oxygen atoms at the lower rim of the calixarene was confirmed by ${}^{1}H$ NMR and ESI-MS spectral data.¹

X-ray quality single crystals of the ternary system 3 were obtained by slow evaporation of an ethanolic solution of $[Na^+ \subset 1]$]⁻ in the presence of 2 (see Section 4 for details). Variation in the $[Na^+\subset 1]$ ⁻:2 ratio in the 1:1 to 1:2 interval had no effect on the isolation of the final adduct 3. The stepwise assembly of 3 is illustrated in Scheme 1.

Single-crystal X-ray analysis of 3 has revealed the structural details of the system. The $[Na^+ \subset 1]I^-$ to 2 ratio is 1:2 and iodide anions are involved in the formation of three XBs. This is consistent with a remarkable electron donor ability of the iodide anion, derived from a particularly effective ion pair dissociation. Calix[4]arene derivatives have already been used to sequestrate potassium, caesium and barium cations and generate naked iodide anions to be involved in halogen bondingdriven self-assembly. The adduct 3 is the first example of a supramolecular salt exploiting NaI as the iodide ion source and a preorganised cone calix[5]arene derivative as a segregating agent. It appears that $[Na^+ \subset 1]I^-$ can be

Scheme 1. Stepwise assembly of the supramolecular salt 3 (1/NaI/2, 1:1:2 ratio).

Figure 1. Side view of the supercation component $[Na^+\subset 1]$ present in the co-crystal 3. ADPs at 50% probability level. Colours are as follows: carbon, grey; oxygen, red; sodium, orange. Here and in all other figures, only one conformation of the disordered groups is drawn; hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

considered a valuable alternative to previous XB acceptors based on calix[4]arene/alkali metal iodide adducts. The elucidation of the structure of the supercation component arising from a sodium calix[5]arene pentaester complex in the co-crystals of 3 is unprecedented in the literature.

In the solid state, the complex adopts a distorted cone conformation, quite similar to the one found for the free host 1 (14b) and the sodium complex of a structurally related calix[5]arene pentaketone (17).

One of the five tert-butylphenyl residues (ring E) is leaning towards the interior of the upper rim hydrophobic calixarene cavity, while the other four are pointing outwards. The tilt angles of the aromatic rings to the mean plane of the bridging methylenes are 136.68° , 107.95° , 102.79 $^{\circ}$, 137.03 $^{\circ}$ and 61.66 $^{\circ}$ for rings A to E, respectively. The relatively small $Na⁺$ ion is surrounded by a seven coordinated oxygen cage composed of two phenolic oxygens, four ester carbonyl oxygen atoms and a water molecule. It lies off-centre from the lower rim ionophoric cage because the outward-oriented pendant group of the self-inclined ring is not involved in the complexation. The Na⁺ \cdots O distances are in the range of 2.326–2.918 Å (Figure 1).

The phenolic rings in the calixarene structure are quite rigid since they have to comply with the conformational requirements dictated by the ion complexation. By contrast, the pendants bonded to the phenolic oxygens potentially display great mobility and can assume a large variety of conformations. In the supercation $[Na^+\subset 1]$, two of the ethoxycarbonylmethoxy chains are doubly bonded and two are singly bonded to the sodium. Despite the fact that data were collected at 90 K, the pendants show some conformational disorder, one of the chains being refined in two conformations with population factor ratio 0.672/0.328.

The supramolecular cations $[Na^+\subset 1]$ loosely interact with each other in the crystal packing as they are separated by halogen bonded perfluorinated supramolecular anions having the structure of comb-like copolymers (Figure 2).

Figure 2. The superanion $\{[I-(CF_2)_8 - I]_2I^-\}_n$ present in the co-crystal 3. ADPs at 50% probability level. Colours are as follows: carbon, grey; fluorine, green; iodine, purple.

Iodide anions act as tridentate electron donors towards the iodine atoms of diiodoperfluorooctane 2, the latter working as either bidentate or monodentate electron acceptor(s). The bidentate diiodoperfluorooctane molecules interconnect the iodide anions, leading to the formation of unlimited chains; the monodentate diiodoperfluorooctane modules are also appended to the iodide anions, forming the teeth of the halogen-bonded comb-like copolymer. The angles around the iodide anions are 78.42(2)°, 76.73(3)° and $145.14(1)$ ° for $I3 \cdot \cdot \cdot I5 \cdot \cdot \cdot I2$, $I3 \cdots I5 \cdots I1^{i}$ $(i = x, 1 + y, z)$ and $I2 \cdots I5 \cdots I1^{i}$, respectively. The XB lengths are $3.373(2)$ Å, $3.402(1)$ Å and 3.424(1) \AA for I5· · ·I3, I5· · ·I1ⁱ and I5· · ·I2, in that order. These distances are very short (in the ternary adduct $[K^+ \subset K.2.2.2]\{ [I-(CF_2)_8-I]_2I^- \}$, where the iodide anions are tridentate, the $I \cdot \cdot I^-$ distances are 3.474 Å) and indicate strong interactions, once again consistent with a high degree of ion pair dissociation.

In general, the difluoromethylene groups of halogenbonded α , ω -diiodoperfluoroalkanes show some disorder, while the iodine atoms, pinned by the XB, are ordered. This is also the case in the $[Na^{\dagger} \subset 1]\{[I-(CF_2)_8-I]_2I^{\dagger}\}$ supramolecular assembly 3, where most of the carbon and fluorine atoms of the monodentate diiodooctane modules, and some of the carbon and fluorine atoms of the bidentate diiodooctane modules, were split over two locations with occupancy 0.5 in order to model the disorder. Interestingly, the terminal iodines of the teeth, which are not involved in any XBs, also show some disorder.

2.2 4,7,13,16,21,24-Hexaoxa-1,10-diazabicyclo-[8,8,8] $hexacosane/BaI_2/1,8-diiodoperfluoroctane assembly$

For the purpose of comparison, adduct 5 compounded of 4,7,13,16,21,24-hexaoxa-1,10-diaza-bicyclo[8,8,8]hexacosane $(K.2.2.2)$ 4, BaI₂ and diiodoperfluorooctane 2 was also investigated. Crystallisation of a methanol solution of 4 and BaI₂ afforded the solid cryptate $[Ba^{2+} \subset 4]$ 2I⁻. Single crystals suitable for X-ray analysis of the threecomponent system $[Ba^{2+} \subset 4] \{ [I-(CF_2)_8 - I]_3 2I^- \}$ 5 (Scheme 2) were isolated by evaporation of a methanol solution of $[Ba^{2+} \subset 4]$ 2I⁻ in the presence of 2 (see Section 4 for details).

X-ray analysis shows that barium cations are, as expected, embedded in the K.2.2.2 core (Figure 3, top) and the coordination sphere is saturated by two additional molecules of methanol. The supramolecular and halogenbonded anions are seen as comb-like copolymers structurally similar to those observed in 3, the greatest difference being the size of the teeth, which are longer in 5 than in 3 (Figure 3, bottom). Table 1 reports lengths and angles of XBs in the comb-like superanions of 5, where the diiodoperfluorooctane molecules, the XB donor modules the iodide anions and the XB acceptor modules show two different binding modes.

Scheme 2. Stepwise assembly of the supramolecular assembly $5 (4/BaI₂/2, 1:1:3 ratio).$

The iodide I1 is tridentate and forms the unlimited chains of the copolymer on binding two diiodoperfluorooctane molecules (specifically, on binding I1 and translated I2B(x, y, $1 + z$)). These two diiodoperfluorooctane molecules are bidentate and adopt a $ttgtt^2$ conformation along their carbon backbone. I1 also binds a third diiodoperfluorooctane molecule, which is bidentate, adopts a distorted all *trans* conformation and forms the teeth of the comb-like copolymer. These teeth are further elongated through the I2···I2A($-1 + x$, y, $-1 + z$) and $I2 \cdots I1C$ XBs involving the iodide anion I2, which is bidentate, and another diiodoperfluorooctane molecule, which is in turn monodentate. As previously seen in the case of 3, here too the terminal monodentate

Figure 3. The supercation $[Ba^{2+} \subset 4]$ and the superanion $\{[I (CF_2)_{8}$ -I]₃2I⁻}_n components (top and bottom, respectively) present in the co-crystal 5. ADPs at 50% level. Colour codes: carbon, grey; oxygen, red; barium, pink; nitrogen, light blue; fluorine, green; iodine, purple.

Table 1. XB lengths and angles of the superanion components of 5.

Distance (\AA)		Angle $(°)$	
$I1-I1A$	3.584(4)	$I1A-I1-I1B$	126.96(13)
$I1-I1B$	3.531(5)	$IA-I1-I2Ba$	70.30(11)
$I1-I2Ba$	3.603(5)	$I1B-I1-I2Ba$	160.93(11)
$I2-I2Ab$	3.464(4)	$I2Ab-I2-I1C$	174.51(16)
$I2-I1C$	3.442(4)		

 $a^{a}(x, y, 1 + z)$
 $b (-1 + x, y, -1 + z)$

diiodoperfluorooctane molecule shows some degree of disorder at its end.

Fluoroalkane derivatives have a low affinity for hydrocarbon derivatives (18), and thus it is not surprising that the supramolecular fluorous anions and hydrocarbon cations are segregated from each other and give rise to adjacent layers that alternate in the crystal of the two supramolecular assemblies 3 and 5 (Figure 4).

A similar behaviour has already been observed in several other perfluorocarbon/hydrocarbon hybrid systems (19). Interestingly, segregation is much less pronounced in 3 (Figure 4(b)), probably partly as a consequence of the lower content of fluoroalkyl groups, which in turn results from the lower content of iodide ions required

Figure 4. Space-filling representation of the different types of segregation present in the co-crystals, 5 (a) projection parallel to the hydrocarbon/perfluorocarbon layers, and 3 (b) projection nearly parallel to the teeth. Colours are as follows: carbon, grey; hydrogen, white; oxygen, red; fluorine, green; iodide, purple.

to counterbalance a mono- vs. a double-charged cation of the original salt (Na⁺ in 3 vs. Ba²⁺ in 5).

3. Conclusions

We have demonstrated that cation segregating agents 1 and 4 generate naked iodide anions that effectively drive the self-assembly of diidoperfluorooctane 2 into halogenbonded supramolecular anions with a comb-like structure, a hitherto unreported topology.

It had been previously reported how – on using metal cations and cation coordinating agents different from those employed here – naked iodide anions were generated, which worked either as mono-, bi- or tridentate XB acceptors and assembled diiodoperfluorooctane 2 into three component adducts, wherein the supramolecular anion was a discrete system, an infinite chain or a (6,3)-network, respectively $(7-10)$. The three-component adduct 5 is the first reported structure wherein iodide anions with different coordination numbers coexist in the same crystal. Specifically, iodide anions act as both bi- and tridentate XB acceptors. X-ray data show that differences in supercation size and shape ($[Na^+ \subset 1]$ and $[Ba^{2+} \subset 4]$) produce halogen-bonded comb-like copolymers with different metrics and crystal packing. [Na⁺ \subset 1] creates a dense hydrocarbon packing in 3, while in 5 the greater content of perfluoroalkane residues promotes a more pronounced segregation of the hydrocarbon and perfluorocarbon layers.

The results reported here suggest that the cation coordinating agent plays two interrelated roles in allowing metal iodides to assemble iodoperfluorocarbons into supramolecular anions with complex topologies. The primary role is to form a naked iodide anion, namely to allow it to act as an XB acceptor. The key parameter in this case is its effectiveness in sequestrating the cation. The secondary role is to tune the induced XB acceptor ability of the anion, and in this case the key parameter is the size and shape of the supramolecular counterion. When effectively naked, iodide anions are rather chameleonic XB acceptors $(7-10)$. The number and geometry of the XBs they form and the topology of the resulting supramolecular anion is heavily influenced by the restraints that the inherent packing preferences of the cation impose on the overall crystal packing. Further studies are underway to verify whether the XB acceptor ability of other naked halide anions (e.g. bromide anions) is similarly sensitive to the nature, size and shape of the cation.

4. Experimental

4.1 General methods

Melting points were determined on a Kofler melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{19}F$ NMR spectra (300 and 282 MHz, respectively) were obtained at 293 K, using TMS and $CFCl₃$ as internal standards. FT-IR spectra were recorded as KBr pellets on a Nicolet Nexus FT-IR spectrophotometer equipped with the Smart Endurance System (UATR). All solvents and chemicals were of reagent grade and were used without further purification. Calixarene 1 was prepared according to a reported procedure (14b).

4.1.1 Procedure for the formation of the hybrid supermolecular salts

1/NaI/2 (3). Solid [Na⁺ \subset 1]I⁻ was crystallised from a 1:1 mixture of pentaester 1 and NaI in methanol. Co-crystal 3 was grown in an uncapped clear borosilicate glass vial – placed inside a sealed chamber partially filled with vaseline oil – by slow evaporation at room temperature from an absolute ethanol solution of $[Na⁺ \subset 1]I⁻$ and 2 in equimolar amounts.

 $4/BaI_2/2$ (5). In a similar way, co-crystal 5 were obtained by slow evaporation, at room temperature, of a methanol solution containing equimolar amounts of $[Ba^{2+} \subset 4]2I^{-}$ and 2.

4.1.2 Inclusion complex $[Na^+ \subset 1]I^-$

M.p. $146-156$ °C (CH₃OH); FT-IR (selected bands); 2963, 2875, 1747, 1480, 1385, 1238, 1191, 1129 and 1069 cm⁻¹;
¹H NMP (CDCL): δ 1.04 (s. 45H C(CH)), 1.34 ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.04 (s, 45H, C(CH₃)₃), 1.34 $(t, J = 7.1 \text{ Hz}, 15\text{H}, \text{ CH}_2\text{CH}_3)$, 3.35 and 4.36 (AX, $J = 13.9$ Hz, 10H, ArCH₂Ar), 4.28 (q, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 10H, CH_2CH_3), 4.50 (s, 10H, OCH₂) and 6.96 (s, 10H, ArH) ppm.

4.1.3 Co-crystal 3

M.p. $199-200 \text{ °C }$ (C₂H₅OH); FT-IR: 2964, 2907, 2871, 1748, 1481, 1215, 1072, 633 and 545 cm^{-1} . The ¹H NMR spectrum of 3 is superimposable to that of $[Na^+ \subset 1]I^{-}$.
¹⁹F NMR (CD₃OD) δ -123.45 (4F, CF₂CF₂CF₂CF₂I), -122.68 (4F, CF₂CF₂CF₂I), -114.90 (4F, CF₂CF₂I) and -61.52 (4F, $CF₂I)₂$ ppm.

4.1.4 Inclusion complex $[Ba^2 + \sum 4]2I^-$

M.p. 290-292 °C (CH₃OH); FT-IR: 3510, 2886, 2844, 2049, 1607, 1475, 1356, 1255, 1124, 952 and 928 cm⁻¹;
¹H NMP (CD OD) $\frac{255}{3}$ 3.55, 2.50 (m, 12H OCH CH N) ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 2.55–2.59 (m, 12H, OCH₂CH₂N), 3.57–3.59 (m, 12H, OCH2CH2N) and 3.62 (s, 12H, OCH_2CH_2O) ppm.

4.1.5 Co-crystal 5

M.p. 165-170 °C (CH₃OH); FT-IR: 3453, 2886, 2831, 1629, 1214, 1154, 1106 and 953 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 2.56–2.60 (m, 12H, OCH₂CH₂N), 3.55–3.59 (m, 12H, OCH_2CH_2N) and 3.64 (s, 12H, OCH_2CH_2O) ppm; ¹⁹F NMR (CD₃OD) δ -122.13 (4F, CF₂CF₂CF₂CF₂I), -121.33 (4F, $CF_2CF_2CF_2I$), -113.76 (4F, CF_2CF_2I) and -62.39 (4F, $CF₂I$)₂ ppm.

4.2 Crystal structure determinations

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction measurements were performed on a Bruker APEX CCD area detector diffractometer, graphite monochromator and Mo K $α$ radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). The structures were solved by SIR2002 (20) and refined by full-matrix least-squares on F^2 , using SHELXL-97 (21). Hydrogen atoms were introduced in calculated positions and not refined. All crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publications nos. CCDC 697160 and 237537 for 3 and 5, respectively. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC, 2

Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK, E-mail: deposit@ ccdc.cam.ac.uk.

4.2.1 Crystal structure of 3

 $C_{91}H_{102}F_{32}I_5NaO_{16}$, $M_r = 2733.33$, triclinic, space group P-1, $a = 16.555(2)$, $b = 18.865(2)$, $c = 21.391(2)$ Å, $\alpha = 96.55(2), \ \beta = 112.05(2), \ \gamma = 113.97(2)^\circ, \ \ V =$ 5370.4(10) A^3 , $Z = 2$, $\rho = 1.680$ g cm⁻³, μ (Mo K α) = 1.566 mm⁻¹. Data were collected at $T = 90$ K, because at room temperature the mean intensity of the reflections vanished at very low values of 2θ . 188,801 reflections were collected up to $2\theta = 72.41$; 49,938 unique [40,459 with $I_0 \leq 2\sigma(I_0)$, $R_{\text{ave}} = 0.0428$. Final disagreement factors for all (observed) reflections: $R_w(F^2) = 0.1580(0.1489)$ and $R = 0.0841(0.0677)$, goodness of fit = 1.093, 1528 parameters, 529 restraints. In spite of the low temperature of data collection, both the perfluorinated molecules were partially disordered and were refined with some restraints on geometry and ADP parameters; the same was true for an ethoxycarbonyl pendant of 1. Other ethoxycarbonyl and tert-butyl groups of 1 showed large ADPs but it was impossible to split these groups into two models because of very large correlation parameters.

4.2.2 Crystal structure of 5

 $C_{44}H_{44}BaF_{48}I_8N_2O_8$, $M_r = 2793.35$, triclinic, space group P-1, $a = 13.068(3)$, $b = 17.900(4)$, $c = 19.064(4)$ Å, $\alpha = 109.88(2), \quad \beta = 96.18(3), \quad \gamma = 94.75(3)^\circ, \quad V =$ 4135.1(18) A^3 , Z = 2, $\rho = 2.243$ g cm⁻³, μ (Mo K α) = 3.618 mm⁻¹, room temperature. $56,251$ reflections were collected up to $2\sigma = 55.10$; 19,054 unique 11,321 with $I_{\rm o}$ < 2 σ ($I_{\rm o}$)], $R_{\rm ave}=0.0426$. Final disagreement factors for all (observed) reflections: $R_w(F^2) = 0.1081(0.1015)$ and $R = 0.0640(0.0371)$, goodness of fit = 0.946, 1389 parameters, 3978 restraints. Two of the three perfluorinated molecules were completely disordered and refined with restraints both on geometric parameters and ADPs.

Notes

- 1. Upon addition of NaI (1 equiv.) to a CDCl₃ (5 mM) of 1, the aromatic and ethereal $OCH₂$ signals underwent a 0.11 and 0.10 ppm downfield complexation induced shift, respectively. Similarly, positive ESI-MS analysis of a 10μ M CHCl3/CH3OH solution of 1:NaI (1:1) showed a peak at $m/z = 1263.7$, consistent with the formation of a $[1.Na]$ ⁺ complex.
- 2. t and g stand for *trans* and *gauche*, respectively.

References

(1) Eryazici, I.; Moorefield, C.N.; Newkome, G.R. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 1834–1895; Fromm, K.M. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2008, 252, 856; Mao, J.-G. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2007, 251, 1493–1520; Kruppa, M.; König, B. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106,

3520–3560; Morohashi, N.; Narumi, F.; Iki, N.; Hattori, T.; Miyano, S. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 5291-5316; Baleizão, C.; Garcia, H. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 3987–4043; Zilbermann, I.; Maimon, E.; Cohen, H.; Meyerstein, D. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 2609–2625; Alessio, E. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 4203–4242; Gokel, G.W.; Leevy, W.M.; Weber, M.E. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 2723–2750; Lane, B.S.; Burgess, K. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 2457–2473; Gorden, A.E.V.; Xu, J.; Raymond, K.N. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 4207–4282; Gokel, G.W.; Mukhopadhyay, A. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2001, 30, 274–286; Atwood, J.L.; Barbour, L.J.; Hardie, M.J.; Raston, C.L. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2001, 222, 3–32; Dixon, I.M.; Collin, J.-P.; Sauvage, J.-P.; Flamigni, L.; Encinas, S.; Barigelletti, F. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2000, 29, 385–391.

- (2) Sessler, J.L.; Gale, P.A.; Cho, W.S. Anion Receptor Chemistry; Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, UK, 2006; Bianchi, A.; Bowman-James, K.; Garcia-España, E. Supramolecular Chemistry of Anions; Wiley-VCH: New York, 1996.
- (3) Misra, R.; Chandrashekar, T.K. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 265–279; Lankshear, M.D.; Beer, P.D. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 657–668; Filby, M.-H.; Steed, J.W. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2006, 250, 3200-3218; García-España, E.; Díaz, P.; Llinares, J.M.; Bianchi, A. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2006, 250, 2952–2986; Davis, A.P. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2006, 250, 2939–2951; Anzenbacher, P.; Nishiyabu, R.; Palacios, M.A. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2006, 250, 2929–2938; Gale, P.A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2006, 39, 465–475; Amendola, V.; Esteban-Gómez, D.; Fabbrizzi, L.; Licchelli, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2006, 39, 343–353; Chandrashekar, T.K.; Venkatraman, S. Acc. Chem. Res. 2003, 36, 676–691; Sessler, J.L.; Davis, J.M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2001, 34, 989–997.
- (4) (a) Metrangolo, P.; Meyer, F.; Pilati, T.; Resnati, G.; Terraneo, G. Chem. Commun. 2008, 1635–1637. (b) Casnati, A.; Liantonio, R.; Metrangolo, P.; Resnati, G.; Ungaro, R.; Ugozzoli, F. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 1915–1918. (c) Liantonio, R.; Metrangolo, P.; Meyer, F.; Pilati, T.; Navarrini, W.; Resnati, G. Chem. Commun. 2006, 1819–1821. (d) Gimeno, N.; Vilar, R. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2006, 250, 3161–3189. (e) Gale, P.A.; Quesada, R. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2006, 250, 3219–3244. (f) Lankshear, M.D.; Beer, P.D. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2006, 250, 3142–3160. (g) Wichmann, K.; Antonioli, B.; Söhnel, T.; Wenzel, M.; Gloe, K.; Gloe, K.; Price, J.R.; Lindoy, L.F.; Blake, A.J.; Schröder, M. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2006, 250, 2987–3003. (h) Liantonio, R.; Metrangolo, P.; Pilati, T.; Resnati, G. Cryst. Growth & Des. 2003, 3, 355–361. (i) Farina, A.; Meille, S.V.; Messina, M.T.; Metrangolo, P.; Resnati, G. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 2433–2436.
- (5) Metrangolo, P.; Meyer, F.; Pilati, T.; Resnati, G.; Terraneo, G. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6114–6127; Metrangolo, P.; Resnati, G.; Pilati, T.; Liantonio, R.; Meyer, F. J. Polymer Chem. A 2007, 45, 1–15; Metrangolo, P.; Pilati, T.; Resnati, G. Cryst. Eng. Comm. 2006, 8, 946–947; Metrangolo, P.; Neukirch, H.; Pilati, T.; Resnati, G. Acc. Chem. Res. 2005, 38, 386–395; Metrangolo, P.; Pilati, T.; Resnati, G.; Stevenazzi, A. Current Opinion Coll. Interface Sc. 2003, 8, 215–222; Metrangolo, P.; Resnati, G. Chem. Eur. J. 2001, 7, 2511–2519.
- (6) Metrangolo, P.; Panzeri, W.; Recupero, F.; Resnati, G. J. Fluorine Chem. 2002, 114, 27–33; Messina, M.T.; Metrangolo, P.; Panzeri, W.; Ragg, E.; Resnati, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 9069–9072.
- (7) (a) Lindeman, S.V.; Hecht, J.; Kochi, J.K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 11597-11606. (b) Bock, H.; Holl, S.Z. Naturforsch., B: Chem. Sci. 2001, 56, 152–154. (c) Lohr, H.-G.; Engel, A.; Josel, H.-P.; Vogtle, F.; Schuh, W.; Puff, H.J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 1621–1627.
- (8) (a) Gattuso, G.; Pappalardo, A.; Parisi, M.F.; Pisagatti, I.; Crea, F.; Liantonio, R.; Metrangolo, P.; Navarrini, W.; Resnati, G.; Pilati, T.; et al. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 4951–4958. (b) Fox, B.D.; Liantonio, R.; Metrangolo, P.; Pilati, T.; Resnati, G. J. Fluorine Chem. 2004, 125, 271–281.
- (9) (a) Gattuso, G.; Liantonio, R.; Metrangolo, P.; Meyer, F.; Resnati, G.; Pappalardo, A.; Parisi, M.F.; Pilati, T.; Pisagatti, I.; Resnati, G. Supramol. Chem. 2006, 18, 235–243. (b) Caronna, T.; Liantonio, R.; Logothetis, T.A.; Metrangolo, P.; Pilati, T.; Resnati, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 4500-4501.
- (10) Rosokha, S.V.; Neretin, I.S.; Rosokha, T.Y.; Hecht, J.; Kochi, J.K. Heteroat. Chem. 2006, 17, 449–459; Logothetis, T.A.; Meyer, F.; Metrangolo, P.; Pilati, T.; Resnati, G. New J. Chem. 2004, 28, 760–763; Yamamoto, H.-M.; Maeda, R.; Yamaura, J.-I.; Kato, R. J. Mater. Chem. 2001, 11, 1034–1041.
- (11) Nakamoto, T.; Wang, Q.; Miyazaki, Y.; Sorai, M. Polyhedron 2002, 21, 1299–1304.
- (12) Bowman-James, K. Acc. Chem. Res. 2005, 38, 671–678.
- (13) Gutsche, C.D. In Calixarenes Revisited. Monographs in Supramolecular Chemistry; Stoddart, J.F., Ed.; The Royal Society of Chemistry: London, UK, 1998; Vicens, J.; Böhmer, V.; Eds.; Calixarenes: A Versatile Class of Macrocyclic Compounds; Kluwer: Dordrecht, 1991; Gutsche, C.D. Calixarenes; Stoddart, J.F., Ed.; Monographs in Supramolecular Chemistry; The Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, 1989; Vol. 1.
- (14) (a) Danil de Namor, A.F.; Zegarra-Fernandez, K. J. Phys. Chem. B 2007, 111, 7321–7330. (b) Barrett, G.; McKervey, M.A.; Malone, J.F.; Walker, A.; Arnaud-Neu, F.; Guerra, L.; Schwing-Weill, M.-J.; Gutsche, C.D.; Stewart, D.R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1993, 1475–1479.
- (15) Metrangolo, P.; Meyer, F.; Pilati, T.; Proserpio, D.M.; Resnati, G.Chem. Eur. J. 2007, 13, 5765–5772; Neukirch, H.; Guido, E.; Liantonio, R.; Metrangolo, P.; Pilati, T.; Resnati, G. Chem. Commun. 2005, 1534–1535; Metrangolo, P.; Pilati, T.; Resnati, G.; Stevenazzi, A. Chem. Commun. 2004, 1492–1493; Bertani, R.; Metrangolo, P.; Moiana, A.; Perez, E.; Pilati, T.; Resnati, G.; Rico-Lattes, I.; Sassi, A. Adv. Materials 2002, 14, 1197–1201.
- (16) Arnaud-Neu, F.; Fanni, S.; Guerra, L.; McGregory, W.; Ziat, K.; Schwing-Weill, M.-J.; Barrett, G.; McKervey, M.A.; Marrs, D.; Malone, J.F.; et al. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1995, 113–118.
- (17) Bell, S.E.J.; Browne, J.K.; McKee, V.; McKervey, M.A.; Malone, J.F.; O'Leary, M.; Walker, A. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 489–501.
- (18) Smart, B.E. In Organofluorine Chemistry: Principles and Commercial Applications; Banks, R.E., Smart, B.E., Tatlow, J.C., Eds.; Plenum Press: New York, 1994; Dorset, D.L. Macromolecules 1990, 23, 894-901; Hildebrand, J.; Cochran, D.R.F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1949, 71, 22–25.
- (19) Amati, M.; Lelj, F.; Liantonio, R.; Metrangolo, P.; Luzzati, S.; Pilati, T.; Resnati, G. J. Fluorine Chem. 2004, 125, 629–640; Liantonio, R.; Metrangolo, P.; Pilati, T.; Resnati, G.; Stevenazzi, A. Cryst. Growth & Des. 2003, 3, 799–803; Navarrini, W.; Messina, M.T.; Metrangolo, P.; Pilati, T.; Resnati, G. New J. Chem. 2000, 24, 777–780; Cardillo, P.; Corradi, E.; Lunghi, A.; Meille, S.V.; Messina, M.T.; Metrangolo, P.; Resnati, G. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 5535–5550; Corradi, E.; Meille, S.V.; Messina, M.T.; Metrangolo, P.; Resnati, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 7519–7523.
- (20) Burla, M.C.; Camalli, M.; Carrozzini, B.; Cascarano, G.L.; Giacovazzo, C.; Polidori, G.; Spagna, R. J. Appl. Cryst. 2003, 36, 1103.
- (21) Sheldrick, G.M. SHELXTL 6; Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 2001.